

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
10

11 ENVTECH, INC.,) 3:11-cv-00523-HDM-WGC
12)
13 Plaintiff,)
14 vs.) ORDER
15 TALMOR SUCHARD,)
16 Defendant.)
_____)

17 On July 28, 2011, the defendant filed a motion to dismiss for
18 lack of personal jurisdiction. On October 5, 2011, the court
19 denied the motion without prejudice and granted the parties until
20 December 5, 2011, in which to engage in limited jurisdictional
21 discovery. The court further granted the defendant leave to renew
22 his motion to dismiss within fifteen days of the close of
23 discovery. Pursuant to stipulation of the parties, jurisdictional
24 discovery has since been continued to allow the deposition of Alex
25 Chacon, and the deadline for renewing the motion to dismiss set for
26 thirty days after that deposition took place.

27 On June 7, 2012, the plaintiff filed a motion to end
28 jurisdictional discovery and continue the proceedings (#39).

1 Defendant has not filed any response. In the motion, plaintiff
2 asserts that while the deposition of Alex Chacon has not yet taken
3 place, the defendant has avoided setting a date for the deposition
4 due to ongoing settlement negotiations. Plaintiff alleges that it
5 now believes the settlement negotiations are merely delay tactics,
6 intended to allow defendant to continue his efforts to compete with
7 plaintiff and undermine plaintiff's business. Plaintiff therefore
8 asks the court to end jurisdictional discovery and set a deadline
9 for the defendant to renew his motion to dismiss.

10 Defendant has not opposed the plaintiff's motion, and the time
11 for doing so has expired. Accordingly, the motion to end
12 jurisdictional discovery (#39) is hereby **GRANTED**. The defendant
13 shall file a pleading responsive to the plaintiff's complaint on or
14 before July 20, 2012.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 DATED: This 28th day of June, 2012.

17 
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28